## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/661,637	MATTHEWS, ABRAHAM R.		
Francisco	A 4 11 14		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	KYUNG H. SHIN	2143		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <a href="https://doi.org/11/2007/2007">11 February 2007</a> . A b the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disn	nissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);		
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.</li> </ul>			ne issues for	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	serrespending number of infanty reju	otou olamio.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).	
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 19-27.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea vand was not earlier presented.  Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.	
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <a href="See Continuation Sheet">See Continuation Sheet</a> .	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:	
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other:</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/Nathan J. Flynn/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2154				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The reallocation of resources is not dynamic or programmatically based in the specification.

According to Application Spec [pg 12 II 14-17: SMS 221 running on SP network 200 allows ease of service provisioning (dynamically adding additional processors/processing power when needed, reducing the processors/processing power used for VPN 410 when not needed).], an increase or decrease in the number of processors impacts processing provisioning.

Alles (6,466,976) discloses changeable provisioning by changing the number of processors;

[col 3, Il 36-41: The physical separation enables the number of processors and ports to be changed (increased or decreased) independent of each other. The resulting flexibility enables an architecture in accordance with the present invention to scale well to support a large number of subscribers.], and a change in processing

[col 4, II 3-6: The present invention enables an ISN to scale well to serve a large number of subscribers as the number of processors can be increased and the computation load of processing packets can be distributed among the processors.

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers and/or paragraphs and/or sections and/or page numbers in the reference(s) as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety, as potentially teaching, all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage, as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner..

KHS